TRANSCRIPT PREPARED BY THE CLERK OF THE LEGISLATURE Transcriber's Office FLOOR DEBATE

January 24, 2002 LB 82

that it indicates what a place a custodial...excuse me, what a custodial interrogation is, and it also indicates, in response to some of the testimony that we had during the hearing, the big concern was, from those people that testified against the bill, the big concern was is what if the tape recorder didn't work at the end of the interrogation? And the amendment, as it stands, provides for an exception in a good faith effort. And Senator Brashear, I'm sure, will correct me if I'm wrong, but it...it basically provides an exception that if the interrogating officer has made a good faith effort to record this and for some reason there was an equipment malfunction, and it wasn't recorded, then that confession could still stand. So, again, if you have any questions regarding LB 497, I'd be happy to answer them. I will tell you this, that at the testimony last year there were only three people that testified in opposition, a member of the Police Officer's Association, and the Nebraska Police Chief's Association, as well as an individual from the city of Omaha. That was the only opposition to this bill. it's...it's interesting to me that the bill has been sitting there for a year, and just in the last few days we've had opposition. But again, there was only three people that testified in opposition to it a year ago. So now all of a sudden it's...it seems as if the sky is falling, and I find that interesting. But I'd be happy to answer any questions regarding that portion of the committee amendment. Thank you.

SENATOR CUDABACK: Thank you, Senator Bourne. Senator Janssen.

SENATOR JANSSEN: Thank you, Mr. President, members. Senator Bourne, could I carry on a little conversation with you?

SENATOR CUDABACK: Senator Bourne.

SENATOR BOURNE: Yes.

SENATOR JANSSEN: Senator Bourne, does this mean that on...whenever a police officer stops anyone, no matter what the...what it would be, that he would have to record his proceedings with that person, even on a traffic violation?

SENATOR BOURNE: No. That's not how I interpret this amendment